

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2004

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,785	11/26/2003		Linda Mary Morawski	JDC-393 USA CON	7225
27777	7590	07/01/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIP S. J			MCDOWELL, SUZANNE E		
JOHNSON &		ON DHNSON PLAZA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		NJ 08933-7003	1732		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ił	V
11	_

- , Y	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/722,785	MORAWSKI, LINDA MARY					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Suzanne E. McDowell	1732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status		į.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•						
20 /							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	03 U.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1,11 and 12 is/are pending in the appl							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
,	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1.11 and 12 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction under	oloolion roquii oliiolii.	e e					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 March 2002 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		e e					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
·							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Application/Control Number: 10/722,785

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lanvers (DE4404672) in view of Herlache (US Patent 5,788,917). Lanvers teaches the basic method claimed as discussed in the instant specification. Regarding claims 1 and 11, Lanvers does not teach that the gas is injected in a direction parallel to the longitudinal axis of the mold. Herlache teaches a method of gas assist injection molding wherein the article is molded from polypropylene (column 3, lines 19-20) and the gas and plastic are injected from the base portion of the mold, in a direction parallel to the longitudinal axis (See Figures 5A-5C). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the method taught by Herlache to modify the method taught by Lanvers in order to more quickly, completely and efficiently fill the mold cavity with the injected plastic.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPO 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/722,785

Art Unit: 1732

4. Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/101,126. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

5. Claims 11 and 12 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over the claims of copending Application No. 10/101,126.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the claimed apparatus to practice the method taught by 10/101,126 (See Figures 1 and 2).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne E. McDowell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1205. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, Th 6:30-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SEM June 28, 2004

> SUZANNE E. MCDOWELL PRIMARY EXAMINER